

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,369	08/24/2001	Anthony J. Baerlocher	112300-766	9517	
29159	7590 04/22/2003				
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			EXAMINER WHITE, CARMEN D		
			3714	0	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			'as		SA		
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		09/682,369		BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Carmen D. WI	nite	3714			
Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication appelly	ears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
- Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period fc - Failure to repl - Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with your tender of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or it is not office later than three months after the mailing of term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	5(a). In no event, he within the statutory Il apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from t	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.		
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u>	nuan, 2002					
1 '= '			<b>.</b>				
· —		action is non					
close Disposition of	e this application is in condition for allowar d in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Claims	ice except for x parte Quayl	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to th 3 O.G. 213.	e merits is		
4)⊠ Claim	(s) $1-59$ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	(s) <u>1-55 and 59</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(	s) <u>56-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(	s) is/are objected to.				•		
8) Claim(	s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requir	ement.				
Application Pag				·			
	ecification is objected to by the Examiner.	_		•			
Annlie	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepte	ed or b)∐ objed	cted to by the Exam	iner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	h or declaration is objected to by the Exan		ction.				
	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	niner.					
	vledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(	(d) or (f).			
	) Some * c) None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority documents h						
2.□ 0	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been rece	eived in Application	No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea attached detailed Office action for a list of	iu (PCT Rule	17 2(a))		Stage		
14)∏ Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (	to a provisional a	annlication)		
a) 📙 The	translation of the foreign language provisedgment is made of a claim for domestic p	ional applicati	on has been receive	hor	-penounony.		
Attachment(s)		<i>y</i>	13 120 di				
<ul><li>2)  Notice of Drafts</li><li>3)  Information Disc</li></ul>	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-	 152)		
.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary		Part of D	Paper No. 9		



Art Unit: 3714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gura.

Regarding claim 58, Gura teaches all the steps of the claims as indicated in the previous office action {paper #5}. Gura further teaches the newly amended features of steps (f) and (g)- see col. 4, lines 47-67; col. 6, lines 17-27 and abstract.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over-Gura in view of Adams (5,848,932) or Mayeroff (6,224,483).

Regarding claims 56-57, Gura teaches all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. Gura lacks teaching the feature wherein the aspects of the game are employed using a wheel with a plurality of selections. In an analogous slot machine

Application/Control Number: 09/682,369

Art Unit: 3714

game that incorporates a primary and secondary game (i.e. bonus game), Adams or Mayeroff teaches the use of a wheel with a plurality of selections (Adams-fig. 2, #150; Mayeroff- Fig. 2, #40, #42). The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to employ these bonus wheels with a Wheel of Fortune ™ type appeal to them. This feature increases the player's anticipation and provides an aesthetic enhancement, whereby the player anxiously awaits the wheel to come to a stop in order to determine the bonus winnings. Therefore, for these reasons, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the bonus wheel with multiple sections, as disclosed by Adams or Mayeroff, in the game of Gura.

# Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant's arguments have been successful in overcoming the claim rejections of claims 1-55 and 59. Applicant argues that Gura does not teach the feature of "providing the player a selection award for each picked selection". However, this feature is not recited in instant claim 58. The examiner asserts Gura teaches the features of the claims, as currently written, further including the decreasing of available selections (see above claim rejections). Further, with reference to claim 56, Applicant argues that Gura, Adams and Mayeroff do not disclose "a plurality of sub-games triggered upon a sub-game activator symbol associated with the wheel, wherein each sub-game includes at least one sub-game award". However, Applicant is arguing language that is different from the claim language. Therefore, as the claims are currently written, the examiner maintains that the combined references teach the limitations of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,369

Art Unit: 3714

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-55 and 59 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, particularly Gura, does not teach the feature of "a plurality of selection awards associated with said selections" and "providing the selection award associated with each picked selection".

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### **USPTO Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,369

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7768 for Non-official communications and 703-305-3579 for Official communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308+1078.

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700